

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/642,570	08/18/2003	Curtis Nordmark Knowles		4222
7590 . 08/11/2006		EXAMINER STINSON, FRANKIE L		
Curtis N. Knowles 15114 Dundee Avenue				
Apple Valley, MN 55124			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1746	1746
			DATE MAILED: 08/11/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant/a)			
Office Action Summary			Applicant(s)			
		10/642,570	KNOWLES, CURTIS NORDMARK			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAN INC DATE of the	FRANKIE L. STINSON	1746			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Fe	ehruany 2006				
2a)□		action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is			
•	closed in accordance with the practice under E					
Dispositi	on of Claims	,				
4) 又	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.					
	<u> </u>					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement				
Application Papers						
	·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
2) Notice 3) Inform Paper	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te			

C

Application/Control Number: 10/642,570

Art Unit: 1746

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ashpes (U. S. Pat. No. 2,334,017) in view of Klob (U. S. Pat. No. 4,545,395, Harvey (U. S. Pat. No. 4,311,158) or Allen (U. S. Pat. No. 3,436,264)

Re claim 1, Ashpes is cited disclosing a paint roller cover cleaning tool adapted for use in cleaning paint roller covers by conveniently connecting them to commonly used hand held electric drills (see page 2, lines 14-17) for cleaning them by spinning comprising: a shaft (1) having two ends, said shaft threaded at a first end (upper end as at "T"), a roller cover support means (RW) adapted to support a conventional tubular paint roller cover thereon mounted on said shaft, a protuberance means (2) located on said shaft at a distance from said first end which is greater than the axial length of said roller cover support means, and a compressing means (3) on said threaded end of said shaft forcing said roller cover support means into frictional engagement with said protuberance means for coupling said roller cover support means to said shaft for rotation therewith when said second end of said shaft is connected to an electric drill that differs from the claim only in the recitation of the roller cover supporter extending axially along the shaft. The patents to Klob, Harvey and Allen are each cited disclosing the arrangement of a supporting a roller cover on a shaft, where the supporter extends axially along the shaft. It therefore would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill

Application/Control Number: 10/642,570

Art Unit: 1746

in the art to modify the supporter in Ashpes, to extend axially along the shaft as taught by Klob, Harvey or Allen, for the purpose of securely supporting the roller thereon. It is understood that the arrangements in Klob, Harvey and Allen provide a larger surface area and henceforth, a larger gripping force for gripping and securing the roller to the rotating shaft, and since this is considered to be a substitute of equivalents (see MPEP 2144.06 SUBSTITUTING EQUIVALENTS KNOWN FOR THE SAME PURPOSE). Re claim 2, Klob, disclose the sleeve (7).

Page 3

- 2. Applicant's arguments with respect to the pending claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FRANKIE L. STINSON whose telephone number is (571) 272-1308. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 5:30 am to 2:00 pm and some Saturdays from approximately 5:30 am to 11:30 am.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr, can be reached on (571) 272-1700. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-272-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Application/Control Number: 10/642,570

Art Unit: 1746

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

fls

NKIE L. STINSON **Primary Examiner GROUP ART UNIT 1746**  Page 4